

# Verifier DNS Hardening Algorithm

**Status:** Draft (Normative)

**Purpose:** Define the deterministic, step-by-step DNS validation algorithm a UniKey verifier MUST execute to safely discover and trust public keys at internet scale.

## 1. Design Goals

The DNS hardening algorithm is designed to:

- Detect spoofing, poisoning, and substitution attacks
- Avoid single-resolver or single-path trust
- Remain compatible with global DNS realities
- Fail closed under uncertainty

DNS is treated as a distributed, probabilistic system. Trust emerges through correlation and consistency, not assumption.

## 2. Inputs

The verifier is provided:

- `domain`
- `selector`
- Expected key algorithm and strength

## 3. Resolution Algorithm (Normative)

### Step 1: Multi-Resolver Query

Query the DKIM DNS record using at least  $N \geq 3$  independent resolvers.

Resolvers SHOULD include:

- Local OS resolver
- Public resolver (e.g., ISP)
- Independent third-party resolver

## **Step 2: Response Normalization**

Normalize all responses:

- Remove ordering differences
- Normalize TTLs
- Canonicalize DKIM tag ordering

## **Step 3: Consistency Check**

Compare normalized responses.

Rules:

- Public key material **MUST** match exactly
- Selector and domain **MUST** match
- Inconsistent results trigger suspicion

## **Step 4: TTL & Change Analysis**

Evaluate TTL and historical observations:

- Sudden TTL drops increase suspicion
- Unexpected key changes require revalidation

## **Step 5: Optional DNSSEC Validation**

If DNSSEC is present:

- Validate signatures
- Treat failure as signal, not sole decision

DNSSEC success increases confidence but does not eliminate other checks.

## Step 6: Trust Decision

Decision matrix:

- Consistent responses → Accept
- Minor anomalies → Heightened scrutiny
- Major inconsistencies → Reject

## 4. Caching Rules

- Cache only validated keys
- Bind cache to (domain, selector)
- Revalidate on TTL expiry or anomaly

## 5. Failure Modes

Condition	Action
Resolver inconsistency	Reject
Key format invalid	Reject
Algorithm too weak	Reject
Suspicious change	Revalidate or reject

## 6. Invariant Enforcement

This algorithm enforces:

- Deterministic verification
- Independent validation
- Infrastructure-level trust decisions

No verifier MAY bypass this process.

